Aspects of machine learning in asset management: A managed futures perspective

Tobias Rydén Lynx Asset Management

September 2020





Important Information

This document has been provided to you for information purposes and does not constitute an offer, solicitation, advice or a recommendation to purchase financial instruments or financial services. The factual information and views expressed herein are those of the author and they do not necessarily represent the views of Lynx Asset Management AB.

Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results.

Outline

- Industry perspective on AI and ML
- What can we expect from and achieve with ML
- Example: Diversification through ensembling
- Example: An approach to linear filter feature extraction

The AI/ML hype

- Today, AI and ML are buzz words in as good as any potential area of application
- So also in asset management
- The terms big data (and even alternative data), AI and ML are sometimes used almost interchangeably
- ML techniques are quantitative, and can be used for any quantitative purposes such as
 - ✓ allocation to asset classes, sectors, ...
 - ✓ credit risk prediction
 - ✓ risk management
 - ✓ trading (transactions) of assets
 - ✓ market return predictions

The AI/

W

n

Activities Intelligence Sweeps Hedge Funds Artificial Intelligence, or "AI", has featured heavily in industry innovation headlines for all the excitement and promise. the uptake in the hedge fund industry industry industry industry into the hedge fund industry i Artificial Intelligence, or "AI", has featured heavily in industry innovation headlines for has been limited – until recently. Hedge funds' use of AI is accelerating and reshaping some time. Yet for all the excitement and promise, the uptake in the hedge funds' use of AI is accelerating and reshaping the industry. particularly in investing, cost models and recruitment. Managers also face has been limited - until recently. Hedge funds' use of Al is accelerating and reshaping challenges to explain new Al-based approaches to investors. Given the strategies are the the industry, particularly in investing, cost models and recruitment. Managers also face by broduct of super computers crunching billions of data points and learning how to challenges to explain new AI-based approaches to investors. Given the strategies are adjust to markets in real-time. explaining how returns are generated is pushing the byproduct of super computers crunching billions of data points and learning how returns are generated is pushing the Morgan Standaries pouring billions AAA platforms into their infrastructures. into dedicated data science equity teams.

the

rs are Embracing

0000

Growth of AI/ML hedge funds



Types of AI/ML hedge funds



The other point of view...

Not everybody is ready to jump onto the bandwagon...

• Many investors are skeptical, or adverse to, investment strategies that are, in some sense, non-explainable

••

ML in market forecasting: challenges

Data availability

in particular for financial time series, macro data

Data quality (including revisions) Very low signal-tonoise ratio

Machine learning: "traditional" applications vs. finance

Image classification: which picture is an orange, a bicycle, and a monkey respectively?



Simple task for humans – and for a machine too; accuracies well above 90% Such tasks are about *automation*

Machine learning: application to financial prediction

Six months of prices (and volumes) for S&P 500; will price go up or down during the next day, week, or month?



Difficult task for humans – and for a machine too; achievable accuracies are barely above 50%

Machine learning: application to financial prediction

The good news is: we do not need to achieve accuracies much above 50% !



No temporal correlation. (*Big*) caveat though: plot assumes *no* spatial correlation btw predictions

Machine learning: application to financial prediction

However, correlations can be a headache



Starting point: Simple EMA 60 / EMA 20 cross-over model (binary positions)



Interlude: Mitigating the risk of overfitting



Note that ensembles attempt to *decrease variance*, while *maintaining means*. This is entirely similar to the concept of *diversification* in asset allocation. Let's see how this works out in the present example!

Next attempt: Same EMA indicators, ANN with one hidden layer of 40 nodes, 50% drop-out, MSE predicton error loss for next-day returns, trained once



Next attempt: Same EMA indicators, ANN with one hidden layer of 40 nodes, 50% drop-out, MSE predicton error loss for next-day returns, retrained once per year



Further attempt: Same EMA indicators, ensemble of ANNs diversified over architecture



- -- Same EMA indicators
- -- 50% drop out
- -- 0, 1 or 2 hidden layers
- -- 10-40 nodes per layer
- -- Sigmoid or leaky ReLU activations
- -- Loss function is MSE prediction error for next-day returns
- -- Retrained once per year
- -- Ensemble of 200 instances
- -- Average correlation btw instances is 0.71
- -- IR = 0.67 (ensemble)

Even further attempt: Same EMA indicators, ensemble of ANNs diversified over architecture and markets



- -- Same EMA indicators
- -- 50% drop out
- -- 0, 1 or 2 hidden layers
- -- 10-40 nodes per layer
- -- Sigmoid or leaky ReLU activations

-- 50% of markets are selected as input, and 50% as output

-- Loss function is MSE prediction error for next-day returns

- -- Retrained once per year
- -- Ensemble of 200 instances

-- Average correlation btwinstances is 0.59-- IR = 0.93 (ensemble)

An approach to linear feature construction

Smooth prediction filters

- Let $y_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be a vector we wish to predict, i.e. a returns per market over some period of time
- Let (x_t) with $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector time series we have available for the task
- Take the approach $\hat{y}_{kj} = \sum_i \sum_{s=0}^d \beta_{sij} x_{t-s,i}$
- The β_{ij} are linear filters; we want them to be smooth, in some suitable sense
- Apply penalties $\lambda \| D\beta_{ij} \|_{2}^{2}$; for instance, *D* computes 2nd differences
- x_k is stacked vector $(x_{k-d:k,1}, ..., x_{k-d:k,n})$ in \mathbb{R}^{dn} , B is $dn \times m$ matrix of prediction coefficients
- Then $\widehat{y}_k = B^\top x_k$
- Loss function is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{y}_{k} - \boldsymbol{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_{k} \|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \operatorname{trace} \left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{y}_{k} \boldsymbol{y}_{k}^{\mathsf{T}} - \boldsymbol{y}_{k} \boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{B} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_{k} \boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{B} \right) = \operatorname{trace} \left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{S}_{yy} - \boldsymbol{S}_{yx} \boldsymbol{B} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{S}_{xx} \boldsymbol{B} \right)$$

- Total objective (less a constant) becomes trace $\left(-S_{yx}B + \frac{1}{2}B^{\top}QB\right) = -\langle B, S_{xy} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}B\right\|_{F}^{2}$
- A change of variables yields objective $-\langle \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{S}_{xy} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|\widetilde{B}\|_{F}^{2}$
- Can replace $\|\cdot\|_{F}$ by norm that encourages low rank (nuclear norm or k-support norm)

An approach to linear feature extraction

Smooth prediction filters

Solve matrix k-support norm problem $\min_{\tilde{B}} \|\tilde{B}\|_{(k)*}$ given $\langle \tilde{B}, \tilde{S}_{xy} \rangle = 1$ (note: *no* S_{xx} in Q!)



Note 1: *k*-support norm is similar to 1-norm (cf. Lasso), but encourages more non-zero coeffs. Note 2: if *B* is rank defect, we can write $B = B_1B_2$ with B_1 having *k* columns (cf. linear ANN)

An approach to linear feature extraction

Smooth prediction filters

If B is rank defect, we can write $B = B_1 B_2$ with B_1 having k columns (cf. linear ANN)



That's all – thanks for listening!!