On My Experience as a PhD Supervisor

Ola Hössjer Dept. of Mathematics Stockholm University

Autumn Meeting on PhD Education in (Mathematical) Statistics, Cramér Society November 19 2020

Outline

- 1. My background and supervising experience.
- 2. How PhD studies have changed over 35 years.
- 3. Supervisor versus PhD student.
- 4. Personal reflections and final advice.

1a) My academic background

Short CV

- Bachelor in Mathematics, Uppsala 1984-1987.
- Engineering Physics, Uppsala 1984-1987.
- ▶ PhD in Mathematical Statistics, Uppsala, 1987-1991.
- ► Temporary pos. Lecturer, Uppsala 1991-1992.
- Postdoc, Cornell US, 1992-1993.
- ► Lecturer and Professor, Lund, 1993-2002.
- Professor, Stockholm, 2002-2020.

Supervision experience:

Where?	Supervisor	Ass. Supervisor
Lund 1995-2007	9.0	0.5
Stockholm 2007-2020	4.5	1.0
Karolinska 2007-2014	0	2.0
Total	13.5	3.5

1b) Topics of PhD projects as (assistant) supervisor

- ▶ Statistics with engineering applications (1)
- ► Nonparametrics (3.5)
- ► Statistical genetics and medical epidemiology (4)
- ► Spatial statistics (2)
- ▶ Biostatistics and sampling theory (2)
- ► Insurance mathematics (2)
- ▶ Population genetics (1)
- ▶ Stochastic processes and decision theory (1.5)

2a) How PhD studies changed over 35 years

- Late 80s and early 90s:
 - 1. No financing requirement
 - 2. No Individual Study Plans
 - 3. No Director of PhD studies (annual meetings of supervisors)
 - 4. Very few doktorandtjänster (utbildningsbidrag instead)
 - 5. Course study first year, project defined after a year
 - 6. Less active supervisors

Present

- 1. Financing requirement
- 2. Individual Study Plans (including didactics, ethics, ...)
- 3. Director of PhD studies (two annual meetings of supervisors)
- 4. Only doktorandtjänster
- 5. Project defined/initiated at start, in parallell with course study
- 6. More active supervisors

2b) Have PhD studies changed for good or for worse?

- ▶ PhD studies today are much more regulated.
- ▶ Benefit: More diverse education and higher throughput.
- Drawback: Have we lost some academic freedom?

3a) The role of the supervisor: Two styles

- 1. Do it yourself-style
 - Supervisor only guides/gives feedback
 - Suggests problems at best
 - Leads to lower throughput
 - ► Students that finish have learnt independence (the hard way)
- 2. Research cooperation-style
 - Supervisor takes active part
 - Suggests problems
 - Leads to higher throughput
 - Students that finish on average somewhat less independent

Ideal combination: Start with 2, but end with 1.

3b) The role of the PhD student

- Quite a unique period in life: Free to plan work for four years (less so with ISPs)
- Don't look for alibies
 - Have the courage to dive into the deep stuff
- Important having long term plans
 - Keep the big picture (don't get stuck in the deep stuff)
- Start with manageable problems (supervisor important)
- Be flexible: If A is not solvable, try with A'
- Work with at most two projects in parallell
- ► Good to cooperate with others, but be a little selfish (there is plenty of time for cooperation after PhD exam)

4a) My own takehome as a supervisor

- Great experience!
- ▶ I've learnt a lot, both professionally and in other ways.
- Cooperating with young people keeps your brain fresh.
- Very rewarding to see young students mature into independent researchers

4b) Final conclusions and recommendations

- The PhD exam gives you excellent skills in:
 - Acquiring in depth knowledge of at least one area
 - Problem solving and analytical skills
 - Finding information yourself
 - Structuring work over a long period
 - Acquiring academic skills of reasoning and questioning
- Use these skills after the PhD
 - Life does not end with your PhD.
 - Continue to learn throughout life!
 - Use your acquired knowledge for serving society.
 - Examine authorititative knowledge by looking into facts.
 - ▶ Be humble: Don't exaggerate importance of a PhD (there are other ways of learning in life)!

THANKS FOR THE ATTENTION!